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Objectives:
- Often insufficient spatial and temporal resolution of observational meteorological records
- Generation of synthetic meteorological time series with weather generator (WGN)
- Improving design discharge level estimation of extreme floods

Research Questions:
- How well do the weather generator results reproduce the input data characteristics on different spatial scales?
- How suitable are the two applied weather generation techniques for generating synthetic precipitation extremes?

Weather generation:
- Auto-regressive weather generator
- Resampling weather generator
  - Grid-based input
  - Reshuffling days by nearest neighbour resampling
  - Generated extremes within range of observed records

Precipitation extremes:
- Daily extremes of auto-regressive WGN on station scale
- Daily extremes of auto-regressive & resampling WGN on sub-basin scale
- Multi-day extremes of auto-regressive & resampling WGN on basin scale

Conclusions:
- Auto-regressive weather generator performs well on station level but overestimates extremes on sub-basin level
- Overestimation of interstational correlations for extreme precipitation results in too high areal precipitation, particularly in summer
- Resampling weather generator is able to produce multi-day extremes exceeding observed records
- High uncertainty regarding the generated extremes due to limited observational record length
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